The Dyadic Influence of Emotions in the Service Encounter: Toward a Model of Service Encounter Emotional Value

Bailey, Jeffrey J.
Department of Business
University of Idaho
Moscow, ID 83844-3178
208 885-7156
jbailey@uidaho.edu

Gremler, Dwayne D.
Department of Marketing
234 Business Administration Bldg.
Bowling Green State University
Bowling Green, OH 43403
gremler@cba.bgsu.edu

McCollough, Michael A.
Department of Business
University of Idaho
Moscow, ID 83844-3178
mccollou@uidaho.edu

The Dyadic Influence of Emotions in the Service Encounter:
Toward a Model of Service Encounter Emotional Value

ABSTRACT

A model is developed that identifies individual and dyadic antecedents and outcomes for a construct we have named service encounter emotional value (SEEVal). Individual cognitive and affective antecedents are identified. Emotional dyadic antecedents include rapport, emotional contagion, co-production of emotional labor, and relationship quality. The customer outcomes associated with SEEVal broadly include satisfaction, loyalty, and customer voluntary performance. Service employee outcomes include satisfaction, organizational loyalty, and organizational citizenship behaviors. We use existing research to logically support the model and the relationships therein. Fourteen propositions are developed and additional suggestions for future research are provided.

INTRODUCTION

Service experiences are often "charged" with emotion. Anyone who has worked in a service organization will quickly acknowledge this. In fact, people who work as customer contact employees for *any* service organization will likely agree that emotions seem to have some degree of influence on, and role in, daily routines as well as unexpected events and situations. The jobs of service employees entail interactions with customers and, consequently, tend to involve emotions to a greater extent than many other jobs. Furthermore, just as the service employee acknowledges a degree of "emotional" content to a service encounter, customers also experience and acknowledge emotions associated with service encounters. Emotions also play a central role in many other facets of organizational life. Emotions interweave with cognition and behavior and are influential in shaping the outcomes of numerous organizational phenomena. Emotions influence customer satisfaction and service quality (Youngdahl & Kellogg, 1997; Freemantle, 1998), job satisfaction (Landy, 1986; Pekrun & Frese, 1992), an organization's culture (Fineman, 1993, 1996), organizational power and politics (Hill, 1994), performance appraisal (Longenecker, Sims, & Gioia, 1987), leadership and organizational change (Gibson, 1995), and others (e.g., Arora, 1996; Grandey, 1998; Kluger, Rafaeli, Wasserman, Moldovan, & Ben-Dor, 1998). Liljander and Strandvik (1997) suggest that affect (emotions and moods) has emerged as an important consideration in customer satisfaction but its importance has not been fully recognized in service quality models. They conclude with "an interesting question for further research is how emotions are formed in a dynamic perspective" (p.167). The model that is developed and presented here is a step in that direction.

While it is clear that emotions influence customer satisfaction and the quality of a service encounter (c.f., Liljander & Strandvik, 1977; Youngdahl & Kellogg, 1994; Youngdahl & Kellogg, 1997), understanding the nature of emotions is no easy task. As Shweder (1994) and others (e.g. Ekman, 1994; Panksepp, 1994; Averill, 1994) explain, the very nature and logical form of emotions is highly debated and not directly well understood. However, we can look to functional explanations of emotions because the consequences of emotions are empirically verifiable even if the causal inference is obscure (Averill, 1994). A conclusion that can be drawn about the functions of emotions, in general, is that they serve to provide information to others and to the one experiencing the emotions (e.g. Clore, 1994; Schwarz & Clore, 1983;

Oately & Johnson-Laird, 1987). The information provided through emotions serves adaptive purposes such as what to attend to in the environment (Frijda, 1994). Thus, emotions serve the purpose of assisting persons in monitoring, understanding, and adapting to the environment. Emotions function as coping mechanisms.

The functional perspective to defining emotions suggests that emotional influences can be quite important in interacting with others, such as happens in service encounters. When viewed this way it can help us understand the extent to which emotions influence behavior in organizations and between employees and customers. Emotions function to help people cope and deal with the problems of 1) managing multiple and ambiguously defined goals, 2) addressing the problems associated with acting with imperfect knowledge and limited resources, and 3) addressing the problems of coordinating joint action among several agents (Oately, 1992, p. 36). These conditions are highly representative of what is so often found in organizational settings and particularly in trying to manage service operations. The point is that emotions are integral, crucial, and significant in, and for, organizational functioning. Thus it is reasonable to assert that emotions exert influence on a great deal of what happens in organizations and, in particular, in service encounters.

Additionally, an important characteristic of emotions is that they are "experienced." While this, alone, does not define "what" an emotion is, it is useful for, among other purposes, addressing issues concerning the emotional experiences associated with a customer and a service provider encounter. Service delivery is "experienced" (Zeithaml & Bitner, 2000). Emotions provide a critical component to what is "experienced" as well as how the experience is subsequently evaluated.

The focus of this paper is on the emotional elements of the interaction between the customer and the service provider. These dimensions of the interaction result in important consequences with implications for service design and operations (Youngdahl & Kellogg, 1997). In particular, we introduce the concept service encounter emotional value, present a theory in the form of a model of the antecedents and consequences, and briefly discuss related implications in the form of 14 propositions. We employ what Wacker (1998) called an "analytical conceptual research" approach to theory building. The purpose of this type of theory building is "to add new insights into traditional problems through logical relationship-building" (Wacker, 1998, p.373). Basically, it involves integrating research, often from a

diverse background of literatures, and suggestions relationships between variables based on these existing findings.

THE SERVICE ENCOUNTER EMOTIONAL VALUE (SEEVal) CONCEPT

Service encounter emotional value (SEEVal) is defined as the net emotional value the customer experiences (EVcustomer) added to the net emotional value the service provider employee experiences (EVemployee) (See Figure 1). Net emotional value is the experienced emotional benefits minus the experienced emotional costs. Emotional benefits are generally associated with positive affective experiences while emotional costs are generally associated with negative affective experiences. An emotional cost can also include the amount of labor one must exert to display an emotion as deemed appropriate for the situation. In each case, emotional value is a net emotional outcome comprised of the difference between the emotional benefits (broadly construed as positive emotions experienced, meeting of emotional needs, meeting of emotion-related expectations of the encounter) and emotional costs (broadly construed as negative emotions, emotional labor exerted, unmet emotional needs, unmet emotion-related expectations of the encounter). Greater SEEVals are associated with several desirable outcomes as will be discussed below. There are several concepts that can contribute to and otherwise influence the emotional value experienced by both the customer and the service provider.

The SEEVal construct is valuable in part because it provides a framework for stimulating new research directions, especially questions concerning many outcomes of interest to organizations such as customer satisfaction and employee satisfaction. SEEVal also extends the research by examining service encounters as *emotionally* dyadic interactions compared with focusing on behavioral and cognitive aspects of dyadic interactions (c.f., Solomon, Surprenant, Czepiel, & Gutman, 1985). Dyadic interaction research suggests that "the fusion of two people in a service setting is greater than the sum of its parts" (Solomon, et. al., 1985, p.100-101). However, this research usually takes a cognitive perspective such that the focus is on alignment or misalignment of cognitive scripts and the resultant role expectations. We are in no way intending to discount the role played by cognitive scripts, and other dyadic phenomena, in such important outcomes as customer satisfaction. We are proposing the additional consideration of the dyadic *emotional*

value experienced in the interaction and are recognizing the jointly experienced emotional value as SEEVal.

INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

While the purpose of this paper is to present a model that suggests the role of the SEEVal construct and identifies possible antecedents and consequences, the introduction of the SEEVal construct raises research questions regarding discriminant validity. SEEVal is distinct from customer satisfaction. Customer satisfaction involves a global evaluation of performance and a comparison with expectations. In this way, it is very similar to what can be called an *attitude.* These attitudes are based on both affective and belief components but the evaluation is cognitive in nature. There are good reasons to distinguish among the global evaluations of service encounters, the affective reactions to service encounters, and beliefs about service encounters; see Weiss (2001) for a full and detailed explanation. Here we are suggesting that the affective aspects of the service encounter contribute to higher or lower levels of customer satisfaction by influencing a customer's evaluation of the service encounter. Thus, SEEVal is related to customer satisfaction but is conceptually distinct.

The components that encompass net emotional value are the emotional costs and emotional benefits experienced. This holds independently for the service employee and the service customer. Emotional costs and benefits are intentionally "general" and not specifically defined. This is because what constitutes an emotional benefit may vary greatly among types of services consumed or even across various consumers. For example, one type of service we can consider is a thrill ride on a roller coaster. The emotion of fear is a negative emotion and would typically be associated with an emotional cost. It may be, at least for some consumers, an emotional benefit of this non-typical service. So while emotional benefits can generally be said to be associated with positive affective experiences, the routes to the positive affective experiences may vary. Thus, the emotional value to the customer (and employee) is simply defined as the emotional benefits minus the emotional costs.

The contribution this concept makes is primarily evident in four ways. First, SEEVal provides a tacit acknowledgment that emotions can play a central role in a service encounter. There is more than just time, money, and physical effort involved in such services - there is also emotional involvement. Second, viewing SEEVal as co-produced indicates the emotional contribution the customer makes to a service transaction is as important as the emotional contribution of the service employee. Both the service employee and the customer contribute to the emotional aspects of the service encounter. There are appropriate roles and responsibilities associated with being a service employee and with being a customer. This implies that, just as it is possible to have poorly performing service employees, it is possible to have poor customers. Poor customers who do not adequately engage in their part of the interaction will negatively affect SEEVal. Third, because of the emotionally *dyadic* nature of SEEVal, focusing on *both* the employee's and the customer's emotional value, rather than just one or the other, is a better way for an organization to try to maximize SEEVal. Maximizing SEEVal results in better outcomes for both the customers and the service employees and thus for the organization. Finally, this model explicitly places emotional (affective) states prior to customer satisfaction, loyalty, and customer voluntary performance as well as employee satisfaction, loyalty, and organizational citizenship behaviors.

INDIVIDUAL ANTECEDENTS

The service employee and the service customer each, independently, bring cognitive and affective antecedents to the service encounter. These exist prior to the beginning of the service encounter. This is what each person in the service dyad brings to the encounter. These antecedents are identified and described in this model, but it is beyond the intent of this paper to address what brings about the given components of these individual antecedents. Rather, the model begins with an individual's cognitive and affective characteristics, proposing that these contribute to the dyadic antecedents of SEEVal as explained below.

Cognitive Antecedents

Cognitive antecedents include an individual's beliefs and expectations, goals, and attitudes and values. An individual's beliefs and expectations may be directed about a very wide range of objects or

events. We will not attempt to address all of them here. However, one type of belief and expectation

cognitive structure that is particularly relevant to service encounters is that of a *script*. Scripts are

cognitive structures that indicate and describe appropriate sequences of role behaviors (Schank & Abelson,

1977). Much of the work on scripts was developed around, and has dealt with, service encounters. One

probable reason for this is that people do tend to hold well-developed scripts concerning particular service

encounters (Alford, 1998). Relevant to our SEEVal concept, scripts appear to have important emotional

components to them (Gibson, 1995). Furthermore, role and script theory suggests that in routine service

encounters we can expect the customer and the service employee to share common perspectives (Bitner,

Booms, & Mohr, 1994). In less routine roles the customer and the service employee may have different

scripts or may not have developed scripts for the situation. Alford (1998) found that deviation from a

customer's expected cognitive script yielded negative affective reactions towards the service provider. To

the degree that cognitive scripts motivate particular sequences of behavior in the service encounter, they

will influence the various aspects of the interactive, or dyadic, antecedents of SEEVal such as rapport and

co-production of emotional labor as discussed below.

Goals constitute another cognitive antecedent to SEEVal. Goals provide direction, duration, and

intensity of effort that one puts forth towards the purpose of achieving what has been deemed important.

The goals of the particular customer and the particular service employee will directly influence the

interaction. For example, if the service employee has the goal of getting the store closed quickly - the

interaction may appear curt, blunt, or otherwise rude to the customer. This may be exacerbated if the

customer has the goal of experiencing a leisurely shopping, purchasing, or other service consumption

experience. When things happen that interfere with goal attainment it tends to bring about rather strong

emotions (e.g., Lavallee & Campbell, 1995). In as much as the customer and the service employee are goal

directed those goals will affect the dyadic antecedents to the SEEVal.

Customer and service employee *attitudes and values* towards companies, products, stores,

customers, and a host of other potential experiences, people, and objects will combine with situational

factors to affect SEEVal. There are far more specific attitudes than we can discuss here that would

influence the relationship between the customer and the employee, but a pertinent example should make it

clear how attitudes might operate to ultimately affect the SEEVal.   A service employee may have a

negative attitude toward one of the several products that may go along with the service. Consider a lawn care company. An employee may have a negative attitude towards one type of bush but must, as part of his job, plant it when purchased by a customer. If a customer states how much he just really likes that kind of bush and that he wants it planted all over, the encounter likely will entail additional emotional labor for the service provider and the SEEVal will likely be lower. Consider a second example in the same context. If the service provider has a poor attitude about residential lawn care as compared to commercial lawn care, his poor attitude will likely decrease the level of rapport, increase the emotional labor, decrease relationship quality and ultimately decrease the SEEVal of the residential customer interaction. An individual's attitudes and values will influence the interpersonal interaction between the customer and the employee and will in turn affect the SEEVal.

> *Proposition 1: For a given service encounter, the greater the degree of accordance in cognitive components between the service employee and the customer, the greater will be the service encounter emotional value.*

Affective Antecedents

Affective antecedents are comprised of one's *emotions* and *moods*. Emotions are discrete affective states directed at someone or something while moods are more general affective states that have more ambiguous causes and are not directed at particular objects. Mood is a central element to much of daily human behavior and experience (Thayer, Newman, & McClain, 1994). Whereas emotions tend to be provoked by particular incentives, moods have more ambiguous causes and transcend contexts (Kagan, 1994) and tend to have longer duration. Thus, anger as an emotion may last only minutes while an annoyed, irritable mood may last for several hours or even for a few days (Watson & Clark, 1994). A considerable amount of research effort has been directed towards understanding how mood can influence consumer behavior as well as how companies can attempt to positively influence the mood of customers (e.g., Manrai, 1993). Mood states will influence how an individual interacts with another during the service encounter (Bless, Clore, Schwarz, Golisano, Rabe, & Wolk, 1996) and thus will affect the dyadic antecedents of SEEVal.

Emotions serve to assist us in the evaluation, regulation, augmentation, and prioritization of goals and plans (Oately, 1992). Thompson (1998) reports that relationships and emotions greatly influence interactions. She explains that interactions such as negotiations unfold through a process of events and reactions. The task of a person involved in such an interaction is to understand how his emotional reactions are shaped by others and how his own emotions influence the behavior of others. Adeptness in this task depends on one's ability to detect emotions in others as well as the ability to adapt, regulate, and manage them. During this process, emotional imitation can lead to greater feelings of emotional rapport (Bernieri, Davis, Knee, & Rosenthal, 1991, as cited in Thompson, 1998). Understanding the emotional aspects of an ongoing interaction is related to the concept of emotional intelligence (Goleman, 1995; Salovey & Mayer, 1990), especially the dimensions of empathy and self-regulation. One's emotional experiences during an interaction will influence one's level of propensity for emotional contagion, experience of rapport, willingness to perform emotional labor, and relationship quality.

> *Proposition 2: For a given service encounter, the greater the degree of accordance in affective components between the service employee and the customer, the greater will be the service encounter emotional value.*

DYADIC ANTECEDENTS

Dyadic, interactional antecedents refer to the antecedents that are relational and specific to the particular service encounter. The dyadic antecedents include rapport, emotional contagion, co-production of emotional labor, and relationship quality. These are the most directly related antecedents to the emotional value that the participants will experience. They relate most directly to the SEEVal for a given service experience.

Rapport

The concept of *rapport* focuses in on the relationship between businesses and their customers. Gremler and Gwinner's (2000) analysis of the rapport construct suggests key roles of "feelings of connectedness" and "interpreting interactions as enjoyable" are important in defining rapport. The importance of "connectedness" serves to highlight the influential nature of personal relationships in

developing rapport and customer loyalty (e.g. Crosby, Evans, & Cowles, 1990; File & Prince, 1993, Gremler & Brown, 1998). Rapport is viewed as different from personality perception because the construct does not reside within a single individual but rather involves the relationship between individuals (Bernieri, Gillis, Davis, & Grahe, 1996). Similar to the SEEVal, the "relationship" concept is pivotal to the understanding of rapport. The positive affective reaction resulting form feelings of connectedness will be reflected in the SEEVal.

The marketing literature examines the relationship between a service provider and the customer through the lens of relationship marketing. Relationship marketing (Berry, 1983), as a practical concept, is meeting with great success in service organizations as well as being a dominant topic of research in the services marketing literature. McKenna (1991) and Vavra (1992) suggest that relationship marketing is a way for firms to gain competitive advantage. The relationship aspect of marketing services is especially relevant, in part, because of their intangible nature (Czepiel, 1990) and partly because of the importance of continuity in relating to each other over time (Weisinger, 1998: p.154). Consequently, social benefits are a highly valued outcome of engaging in relational exchanges with service firms (Gwinner, Gremler, & Bitner, 1998).

> *Proposition 3: The feeling of connectedness that comes with higher levels of rapport results in greater emotional benefits and thus in greater levels of service encounter emotional value.*

Emotional Contagion

Emotional contagion is the process whereby emotional states are transmitted, conveyed, and experienced between people (Howes, Hockanson, & Loewenstein, 1985; Hatfield, Caccioppo, & Rapson, 1992). Emotional contagion occurs through the three processes of mimicry, feedback, and contagion. Mimicry involves the imitation of facial expressions, vocal tones, movements, and the body postures of others (Hatfield, Caccioppo, & Rapson, 1992; Vaughn & Lanzetta, 1980). Facial feedback research has demonstrated the functional and interactional qualities of expressions on the internal feeling states of emotions. A person's physical facial expressions will enhance his/her emotional feeling of those emotions corresponding to the facial expression. Contagion refers both to the "contagious" quality of emotional expression and an individual's willingness to be influenced by another's emotions. Generally, when

11

someone around us is cheerful it tends to make us more cheerful. Thus, to the extent that a service

employee/customer is experiencing and demonstrating a positive emotional state, the customer/service

employee will be influenced towards a more positive feeling.

Proposition 4: The greater the willingness of both the customer and the service provider to be

influenced by the positive affect of the other, the greater the level of emotional contagion and the

greater will be the service encounter emotional value.

Co-production of Emotional Labor

Co-production of emotional labor refers to the extent to which both the employee and the

customer engage in emotional labor. Before directly discussing the co-production of emotional labor, a

few comments are in order about the management of emotions in service work. Working to manage

emotional presentations has been commonly researched under the label of "emotional labor." Emotional

labor involves the job-related task of portraying oneself as a person of positive, cheerful, or friendly

emotional disposition to customers, including even the most unfriendly of customers (Bailey &

McCollough, 2000). Emotional labor is pervasive in services. Employers expect certain displays of

emotion in the belief that they further organizational goals, regardless of the particular type of emotional

labor (Sutton, 1991). Past studies have examined emotional labor in several service-related occupations

such as flight attendants (Hochschild, 1983), cashiers (Rafaeli, 1989), convenience store clerks (Sutton &

Rafaeli, 1988), and amusement park employees (Van Maanen & Kunda, 1989).

The vast majority of published empirical studies on emotional labor involve service jobs. Service

jobs engender emotional labor. The distinguishing characteristics of services, as compared with

manufacturing, include intangibility, heterogeneity, perishability, and co-production. This involvement

with customers, especially the simultaneous production and consumption of the service, results in the need

to display appropriate emotions and, thus, for emotional labor. Co-production means that the service itself

can not be produced separate from the customer. Moreover, the production of the service is assisted by the

customer's participation. For a variety of reasons, many service industries are working to involve the

customer even more in the production of the service.

Research on emotional labor has mostly focused on negative outcomes for employees (e.g., Hochschild, 1983) with a few exceptions (e.g., Wharton, 1993). Emotional labor has been characterized as more likely to involve an emotional cost than an emotional benefit. If there is co-production of the emotional labor of the service encounter it implies that there may be emotional costs to the customer associated with the interaction. Customers experience costs associated with a purchase, such as money, time, and effort. The co-production of emotional labor extends this to include emotional effort. This being the case, it is possible that customers may, at times, desire to avoid any emotional effort for a variety of reasons (e.g. they are exhausted or don't feel well). There is emotional labor involved with particular types of purchases, especially those products that are embarrassing to buy (Petrosky, 1998). Customers may prefer to minimize the amount of emotional labor they perform and thus may prefer to eliminate or minimize interaction with a service employee. The proliferation of automated services, while primarily driven by convenience, are also a result of a desire to avoid the "work" involved with more extensive interaction with another person. Products that are embarrassing to purchase often do much better when sold through mail order or the internet. Similarly, delivery services for food make convenient meals for people who are not "up" to going out.

While emotional labor has usually been examined in reference to an employee's emotional labor, there is good reason to believe that customers also engage in forms of emotional labor. An example of this comes from studies reported by James (1993). James (1993) reports on how medical patients, their families, and healthcare professionals deal with the negatively charged situations such as informing patients of a diagnosis of cancer. There is a great amount of emotional labor, or management, when an individual is diagnosed with cancer and the need to communicate this with them arises. The provision of this "service," however, clearly elicits a co-production of emotional labor involved. "The reports illustrate how people with cancer, the unwaged care-givers, the doctors and the nurses are all active and reflective as the process of disclosure unfolds. All are more or less logical and skilled in the management of their own and others' emotions, … The process of disclosure depends not just on lay and professional staff knowing the rules of what is required of them, but also on being prepared to comply with those rules - and with the division of labour they imply" (James, 1993, 98, 109). Although the context is set by the consulting surgeon, it is the patient, his or her relatives, nurses, and the junior doctors who were doing the ongoing

work of managing emotions. Again, this suggests that the customer, in addition to the service provider, has a role in the formation and evolution of the emotional aspects of the encounter that forms the basis for the SEEVal.

To the extent the service employee and the customer are each able and motivated to perform emotional labor, the service encounter will take on an acceptable emotional quality and lead to a greater SEEVal. Also, assuming the customer and the service employee desire a pleasurable interaction and consider adjustments in emotional presentation (a form of emotional labor) a good thing to improve the overall quality of the interaction, they will experience positive affect associated with reaching the goal.

Proposition 5: To the extent that customer and the service employee are actually experiencing the expected emotions for the interaction, neither will be performing emotional labor yet the display and experiencing of the expected emotions for the interaction will result in greater levels of service encounter emotional value.

Proposition 6: When a service encounter necessitates emotional labor, the greater the extent to which the emotional labor is co-produced, the more positive will be the influence of that emotional labor on the service encounter emotional value.

Proposition 7: When only the service provider or the customer performs the emotional labor needed to make the experience meet with expected emotional characteristics, the greater the extent of that emotional labor, the greater the negative influence it will have on the service encounter emotional value.

Relationship Quality

Relationship quality (Crosby, 1989) from the customer's perspective refers to the employee's ability to reduce perceived uncertainty (Crosby, Evans, & Cowles, 1990). High relationship quality means that the customer is able to rely on the service employee's integrity, thus reducing uncertainty, and that the customer has confidence in the service employee's future performance (Crosby, Evans, & Cowles, 1990). Relationship quality is seen as two-dimensional, involving both trust and satisfaction. The relationship

quality will influence both the emotional benefits and the emotional costs for the service employee and the customer and as such will affect the SEEVal.

Proposition 8: The greater the relationship quality the greater the emotional benefits for both the customer and the service provider, and thus the greater the level of service encounter emotional value.

OUTCOMES OF SERVICE ENCOUNTER EMOTIONAL VALUE

Customer Satisfaction

Customer satisfaction can be influenced by the emotional value of the service encounter. When a customer has experienced a positive emotional encounter he or she will be more satisfied with the encounter (Oliver, 1997). Goodman, Fichman, Lerch, and Snyder (1995) found that the more involved customers were with the service provider, the greater the influence of dissatisfaction about some particular element of the service has on overall customer dissatisfaction. They suggest that customers who have invested time and energy into a relationship are more dissatisfied overall when a particular element is dissatisfactory, possibly because they feel the efforts are not yielding results. Furthermore, Solomon, Surprenant, Czepiel, and Gutman (1985) identified the dyadic interaction between a service provider and a customer as an important determinant of the customer's global satisfaction. Menon and Dube (2000) investigated salespersons' responses to customers' emotions and concluded that the responses were of such great importance to customer satisfaction that there is "an urgent need for a better engineering of salesperson response to customer emotions…" Finally, in a recent meta-analysis, Szymanski and Henard (2001) found customers' affective, or emotional, reactions were significantly related to customer satisfaction in service industries. The empirical evidence briefly noted above suggests a strong relationship between a customer's emotions, the service provider's reactions, and customer satisfaction.

Proposition 9: Greater levels of service encounter emotional value will be associated with greater levels of customer satisfaction.

Customer Loyalty

Customer loyalty is a commitment to repurchase (Ryan & Rayner, 1999). Loyalty derives from a customer's satisfaction, the reputation of the company, and the value of the service. "Value, or worth received for money spent" is believed to be a "direct antecedent of loyalty" (Ryan & Rayner, 1999). Taking into consideration Oliver's (1997) view that customer loyalty involves a cognitive, affective, and a behavioral intention level, it is reasonable to suggest that higher service encounter emotional values are also direct antecedents of loyalty. Customer loyalty is influenced by the "exchange relationship" (c.f., Dwyer, Schurr, & Oh, 1987). In particular, switchers commonly have had emotionally taxing experiences with service providers (Ganesh, Arnold, & Reynolds, 2000). Emotions related to, or contributing to, trust and commitment are particularly important antecedents to loyalty for relational customers (Garbarino & Johnson, 1999). Thus, to the extent that a service encounter is emotionally rewarding (or at least not emotionally taxing) there is reason to believe that customers will exhibit more loyalty.

> *Proposition 10: Greater levels of service encounter emotional value will be associated with*
> *greater levels of customer loyalty.*

Customer Voluntary Performance

Customer voluntary performance (CVP) refers to "helpful, discretionary customer behaviors that support the ability of the firm to deliver service quality" (Bettencourt, 1997). Loyalty is sometimes considered one form of CVP but here we chose to focus on loyalty separately as a commitment towards repurchasing. However, Bettencourt (1997) found that perceived support for customers explained variance in customer commitment (loyalty) *unique* from satisfaction. Thus, CVP includes additional discretionary behaviors such as positive word-of-mouth communication about the company, constructive involvement in suggesting service improvements, and other polite and courteous behaviors exhibited towards the service employees and other customers. Service encounter emotional values that are quite high or quite low are particularly likely to lead to word-of-mouth communications. This is because the emotional experience component of service consumption appears to motivate consumers to speak to others about their experiences (Westbrook, 1987). Also, since customers who are satisfied with services engage in positive word-of-mouth communication and customers who are not satisfied engage in negative word-of-mouth

communication (Swan & Oliver, 1989), there may be additional co-variation effects to the extent that SEEVal is an antecedent of customer satisfaction. The main point here, though, is that SEEVal is a direct antecedent of CVP.

> *Hypothesis 11: Greater levels of service encounter emotional value will be associated with greater levels of customer voluntary performance.*

Employee Job Satisfaction

A *service employee's job satisfaction* is composed of evaluative judgments made about his/her job. It is greatly influenced by the affective experiences one has at work (Weiss, 2001). When an employee has a positive experience with a customer, that experience will increase one's satisfaction and loyalty. A job that involves enjoyable, pleasant, and rewarding interactions with customers should lead to a greater degree of satisfaction. Also, satisfaction and commitment are positively associated with job performance. Thus, since customer service employees who are experiencing enjoyable customer interactions, and greater levels of service encounter emotional value, will perceive themselves as exhibiting good job performance, they should find more satisfaction with their work. In a study of waitresses, Paules (1996) concludes that waitresses' satisfaction and performance depend on an ability to control the behavior of those within whom the waitresses enter into business transactions (customers). The emotional interaction with customers is directly tied to job satisfaction. Emotions associated with pleasure, arousal, and dominance have also been shown to be positively associated with work satisfaction (Mehrabian, 1998).

> *Proposition 12: Greater levels of service encounter emotional value will be associated with greater levels of employee job satisfaction.*

Employee Loyalty

Employee loyalty refers to an employee's commitment, or attachment, to an organization and consists of affective, continuance, and normative components (Allen & Meyer, 1990). The affective component refers to an employee's emotional attachment and identification with the organization such that the employee enjoys membership in it. It is the most prevalent approach to addressing organizational commitment in the literature (Allen & Meyer, 1990) and has developed from some of the earlier work on

organizational commitment (c.f., Kanter, 1968; Mowday, Steers, & Porter, 1979; Porter, Steers, Mowday,

& Boulian, 1974). The continuance component is based on costs that employees associate with leaving

and the normative component is based on felt obligations (Allen & Meyer, 1990) and as such these

components are of less concern for the present model. Antecedents of affective attachment have been

suggested to fall into the four categories of personal characteristics, job characteristics, work experiences,

and structural characteristics (Mowday, Porter, & Steers, 1982). The strongest evidence has been provided

for work experiences that fulfill employees' psychological needs to feel comfortable and competent in the

work-role (Meyer & Allen, 1987, as cited in Allen & Meyer, 1990). Furthermore, satisfying customer

needs was found to be one of the major antecedents to employee loyalty in a study of 1,800 employees

(Wah, 1999). Taking into consideration the strong affective component to loyalty and the other findings

listed above, it is reasonable to propose that SEEVal will be associated with employee loyalty.

> *Proposition 13: Greater levels of service encounter emotional value will be associated with*
>
> *greater levels of employee loyalty.*

Employee Organizational Citizenship Behaviors

> *Organizational citizenship behaviors* (OCBs) are behaviors that go beyond the job descriptions of

the employees, are not formally recognized in the reward system, and are discretionary (Smith, Organ, &

Near, 1983). Organizational citizenship behaviors serve important roles for organizations (c.f., Netemeyer

& Boles, 1997). Similarly, most "emotional work" is not explicitly recognized as part of the job, is often

discretionary, and is not commonly a formal part of the employer's reward system. Therefore, exhibiting

the appropriate emotional expressions when engaging customers may, itself, involve some level of

organizational citizenship behavior. Past OCB research has not focused on emotion work. Much of the

literature on organizational citizenship behaviors has, however, focused on identifying organizational

factors that serve as antecedents to these behaviors (c.f., Podsakoff, Ahearne, & MacKenzie, 1997). For

example, Netemeyer and Boles (1997) empirically demonstrate the importance of job satisfaction,

perceived person-organization fit, perceived leadership support, and fairness in reward allocation on

organizational citizenship behaviors. In another example, Van Dyne, Graham, and Dienesch (1994) found

that an individual's attitudes, values, and characteristics associated with the job were antecedents of OCBs.

Many of the characteristics or antecedents studied would be included in our model of service encounter emotional value as *individual antecedents*. However, the antecedents are predictive of OCBs only through the mediator variable of *covenant relationship* (Van Dyne, Graham, & Dienesch, 1994). These scholars describe a covenant relationship between an employee and the organization as one based on a commitment to the welfare of both parties and based on values. Thus, these relationships are more complex than simple exchange relationships, due in part to the "normative-affective foundation" of covenant relationships (Van Dyne, Graham, & Dienesch, 1994). In light of these, and other, OCB research results it is reasonable to suggest that service encounter emotional value will have a direct positive relationship with a service employee's engagement in organizational citizenship behaviors.

> *Proposition 14: Greater levels of service encounter emotional value will be associated with greater levels of employee displays of organizational citizenship behaviors.*

CONCLUSION

In this paper we have developed a model of service encounter emotional value. In this model there are both customer and service employee, cognitive and affective, individual antecedents that lead to emotional dyadic antecedents of SEEVal. These emotional dyadic antecedents include rapport, emotional contagion, co-production of emotional labor, and relationship quality. These contribute directly to the emotional value experienced by the customer and the service employee that together constitute the service encounter emotional value. The consequences of SEEVal are customer satisfaction, loyalty, and customer voluntary performance as well as service employee job satisfaction, loyalty, and organizational citizenship behaviors. The SEEVal is important to the extent that it influences outcomes that are considered important to an organization. Since most of the outcomes are directly related to customer service and employee satisfaction, we believe the concept to be of value.

The contribution this concept makes is primarily evident in four ways. First, SEEVal provides a tacit acknowledgment that emotions play a central role in a service encounter. There is more than just time, money, and physical effort involved in such services - there is also emotional involvement. Second, viewing SEEVal as co-produced indicates the emotional contribution the customer makes to a service

transaction is as important as the emotional contribution of the service employee. Both the service employee and the customer have appropriate roles and responsibilities. This implies that, just as it is possible to have poorly performing service employees, it is possible to have poor customers. Poor customers do not adequately engage in their part of the interaction and thus negatively affect SEEVal. Third, because of the whole-is-greater-than-the-sum-of-the-parts nature of SEEVal, focusing on both the employee's and the customer's emotional value, rather than just one or the other, is a better way for an organization to try to maximize SEEVal. Fourth, the SEEVal model explicitly places affective states of the consumer and the service employee as antecedents to customer satisfaction, loyalty, and CVP as well as employee job satisfaction, loyalty, and OCBs.

The expenditure of emotional resources is not the same as the expenditure of financial resources. Customers and employees are aware that it is often necessary to expend emotional resources to enjoy the benefits of emotional value. Customers seeking a high involvement, highly affective experiences, generally need to expend the emotional resources to achieve this. For example, a customer wishing to maximize his or her emotional value from a night out on the town must "get in the spirit." It is difficult to purchase "getting into the spirit." Furthermore, service employees must get into the spirit to appropriately engage the customers. How do employees do this? Future research is needed to address how individuals and organizations might attempt to manage the extent to which there are high SEEVals experienced during their service interactions. Future research is also needed to address issues of model validation, measurement of SEEVal, and related emotional expectations of customers and employees. Finally, future research is needed to address the propositions set forth in this paper.

It has become increasing evident that affect is an important consideration in customer satisfaction but its importance has not been fully recognized in service quality models (Liljander & Strandvik, 1997). Likewise, emotions play a central role in job satisfaction of service employees while also not being fully recognized in existing models of satisfaction. Towards the goal of more explicitly recognizing the important role of emotions for both customers and employees in service encounters, we have introduced and developed a model of service encounter emotional value including associated antecedents and consequences.

REFERENCES

Alford, B. L. (1998). Using cognitive scripts to assess the process of professional service delivery. *Journal of Professional Services Marketing, 17(1)*, 77-103.

Allen, N. J. & Meyer, J. P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Occupational & Organizational Psychology, 63(1),* 1-18.

Atkins, P. M. & Marshall, B. S. (1996). Happy employees lead to loyal patients. *Journal of Health Care Marketing, 16(4),* 14-24.

Arora, R. (1996). A model of consumption emotions, attitude, and satisfaction for services. *Journal of Customer Service in Marketing and Management, 2(3)*, 31-43.

Averill, J. R. (1994). Emotions unbecoming and becoming. In P. Ekman & R. J. Davidson (Eds.), *The Nature of Emotion: Fundamental Questions* (pp. 265-269). New York: Oxford University Press.

Bailey, J. J. & McCollough M. A. (2000). Emotional labor and the difficult customer: Coping strategies of service agents and organizational consequences, *Journal of Professional Services Marketing, 20(2)*, 51-72.

Bernieri, F. J., Davis, J. M., Knee, C. R., and Rosenthal, R. (1991). Interactional synchrony and the social affordance of rapport: A validation study. Unpublished manuscript, Oregon State University, Corvallis.

Bernieri, F. J., Gillis, J. S., Davis, J. M., & Grahe, J. E. (1996). Dyad rapport and the accuracy of its judgment across situations: A lens model analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 71(1)*, 110-129.

Berry, L. L. (1983). Relationship marketing. In L. L. Berry, G. L. Shostack, & G. D. Upah (Eds.), *Emerging Perspectives on Services Marketing* (pp. 25-28). Chicago, IL: American Marketing Association.

Bettencourt, L.A. (1997). Customer voluntary performance: Customers as partners in service delivery. *Journal of Retailing, 73(3)* 383-406.

Bitner, M.J., Booms, B.H., Mohr, L.A. (1994). Critical service encounters: The employee's viewpoint. *Journal of Marketing, 58,* 95-106.

Bless, H., Clore, G. L., Schwarz, N., Golisano, V., Rabe, C., & Wolk, M. (1996). Mood and the use of scripts: Does a happy mood really lead to mindlessness? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 71,* 665-679.

Clore, G. C. (1994). Why emotions are felt. In P. Ekman & R. J. Davidson (Eds.), *The Nature of Emotion: Fundamental Questions* (pp. 103-111). New York: Oxford University Press.

Crosby, L. A. (1989). Building and maintaining quality in the service relationship. In S. W. Brown and E. Gummesson (Eds.), *Quality in Services,* Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.

Crosby, L. A., Evans, K. R., & Cowles, D. (1990). Relationship quality in services selling: An interpersonal influence perspective. *Journal of Marketing, 54(July),* 68-81.

Czepiel, J. A. (1990). Managing relationships with customers: A differentiation philosophy of marketing. In D.E. Bowen, R.B. Chase, & T.G. Cummings (Eds.) *Service Management Effectiveness* (pp. 299-323). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

Dwyer, F.R., Schurr, P. H., & Oh, S. (1987). Developing buyer-seller relationships. *Journal of Marketing, 51(April),* 11-27.

Ekman, P. (1994). All emotions are basic. In P. Ekman & R. J. Davidson (Eds.), *The Nature of Emotion: Fundamental Questions* (pp. 15-19). New York: Oxford University Press.

File, K. M. & Prince, R. A. (1993). Evaluating the effectiveness of interactive marketing. *Journal of Services Marketing, 7(3),* 49-58.

Fineman, S. (1993). Organizations as emotional arenas. In S. Fineman (Ed.), *Emotions in Organizations*, (pp. 9-35). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Fineman, S. (1996). Emotion and organizing. In S.R. Clegg, C. Hardy, & W. R. Nord (Eds.), *Handbook of Organization Studies*, Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Freemantle, D. (1998). *What customers like about you: Adding emotional value for service excellence and competitive advantage.* London: Nicholas Brealey Publishing.

Frijda, N. H. (1994). Emotions are functional, most of the time. In P. Ekman & R. J. Davidson (Eds.), *The Nature of Emotion: Fundamental Questions* (pp.112-122). New York: Oxford University Press.

Ganesh, J., Arnold, M. J., & Reynolds, K. E. (2000). Understanding the customer base of service providers: An examination of the differences between switchers and stayers. *Journal of Marketing, 64(3),* 65-88.

Garbarino, E. & Johnson, M.S. (1999). The different roles of satisfaction, trust, and commitment in customer relationships. *Journal of Marketing, 63(2),* 70-87.

Gibson, D. E. (1995). Emotional scripts and change in organizations. In F. Massarik (Ed.) *Advances in Organization Development* (pp. 32-62). Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation.

Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ.* New York: Bantam.

Goodman, P. S., Fichman, M., Lerch, F.J., & Synder, P. R. (1995). Customer-firm relationships, involvement, and customer satisfaction. *Academy of Management Journal, 38(5)*, 1310-1324.

Grandey, A. A. (1998). The conservation of resources model applied to work-family conflict and strain. Paper presented at the 13th Annual Meeting of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Dallas, April.

Gremler, D. D. & Brown, S. W. (1998). *Service loyalty: Antecedents, components, and outcomes.* Paper presented at the American Marketing Association Winter Theory Conference, Dallas, February.

Gremler, D. D. & Gwinner, K. (2000). Customer-employee rapport in service relationships. *Journal of Service Research, 3* (August), 82-104.

Gwinner, K., Gremler, D. D., & Bitner, M. J. (1998). Relational benefits in services industries: The customer's perspective. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 26(Spring)*, 101-114.

Hatfield, E., Caccioppo, J. T., and Rapson, R. L. (1992). Primitive emotional contagion. In M. S. Clark, ed., *Review of Personality and Social Psychology*, *14*, 151-177. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Hill, L. (1994). Power and influence: Getting things done in organizations. Sample coursebook. Boston: Harvard Business School Publishing.

Hochschild, A. (1983). *The managed heart: Commercialisation of human feeling.* Berkeley, CA: University of California.

Howes, M. J., Hokanson, J. E., and Loewenstein, D. A. (1985). Induction of depressive affect after prolonged exposure to a mildly depressed individual. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *49*, 1110-1113.

James, N. (1993). Divisions of emotional labour: Disclosure and cancer. In S. Fineman (ed.) *Emotion in Organizations* (pp. 94-117). London: Sage.

Kagan, J. (1994). Distinctions among emotions, moods, and temperamental qualities. In P. Ekman & R. J. Davidson (Eds.), *The Nature of Emotion: Fundamental Questions* (pp.74-78). New York: Oxford University Press.

Kanter, R. M. (1968). Commitment and social organization: A study of commitment mechanisms in utopian communities. *American Sociological Review, 33,* 499-517.

Kluger, A. N., Rafaeli, A., Wasserman, V., Moldovan, S., & Ben-Dor, D. (1998). Emotions, cognitive guides and service delivery landscape: The influence of service context on the quality of service transactions. Paper presented in J. I. Sanchez (Chair), a symposium: *Customer service: Predictors, criterion, and theory* at the 13th annual convention of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, Dallas, (April).

Landy, F. J. (1989). *Psychology of Work Behavior.* Pacific Grove: Brooks/Cole.

Lavallee, L. F. & Campbell, J. D. (1995). Impact of personal goals on self-regulation processes elicited by daily negative events. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 69*, 341-352.

Liljander V. & Strandvik, T. (1997). Emotions in service satisfaction. *International Journal of Service Industry Management, 8(2)*, 148-169.

Longenecker, C. O., Sims, H. P., & Gioia, D. A. (1987). Behind the mask: The politics of employee appraisal. *Academy of Management Executive, 1(3),* 183-193.

Manrai, L. A. (1993). Mood effects in services: An integrated conceptual model. In T.A. Swartz, D.E. Bowen, and S.W. Brown (Eds.) *Advances in Services Marketing and Management, Vol 2,* (pp.151-174). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press Inc.

McKenna, R. (1991). Marketing is everything. *Harvard Business Review, 69(January-February),* 65-79.

Mehrabian, A. (1998). Correlations of the PAD emotion scales with self-reported satisfaction in marriage and work. *Genetic, Social, & General Psychology Monographs, 124(3),* 311-335.

Melnyk, S.A. & Handfield, R.B. (1998). May you live in interesting times…the emergence of theory-driven empirical research. *Journal of Operations Management, 16*, 311-319.

Menon, K. & Dube, L. (2000). Ensuring greater satisfaction by engineering salesperson response to customer emotions. *Journal of Retailing, 76(3),* 285-308.

Meyer, J. P. & Allen, N. J. (1987). Organizational commitment: Toward a three-component model. Research Bulletin No. 660. The University of Western Ontario, Department of Psychology, London.

Mowday, R. T., Porter, L. W., & Steers, R. M. (1982). *Employee-organizational linkages: The psychology of commitment, absenteeism, and turnover.* New York: Academic Press.

Mowday, R. T., Steers, R. M., & Porter, L. W. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 14,* 224-247.

Netemeyer, R. G. & Boles, J. S. (1997). An investigation into the antecedents of organizational citizenship behaviors in a personal selling context. *Journal of Marketing, 61(3)*, 85-99.

Oatley, K. (1992). *Best laid schemes: The psychology of emotions.* New York: Cambridge University Press.

Oatley, K. & Johnson-Laird, P. (1987). Towards a cognitive theory of emotion. *Cognition and Emotion, 1,* 51-58.

Oliver, R. L. (1997). Satisfaction: A behavioral perspective on the consumer. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Panksepp, J. (1994). The basics of basic emotion. In P. Ekman & R. J. Davidson (Eds.), *The Nature of Emotion: Fundamental Questions* (pp.20-24). New York: Oxford University Press.

Paules, G. (1996). Resisting the symbolism of service among waitresses. In Cameron Lynne Macdonald and Carmen Sirianni (Eds.), *Working in the Service Society* (pp. 264-290).

Pekrun, R. & Frese, M. (1992). Emotions in work and achievement. In C. L. Cooper and I. T. Robertson Ed's. *International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 7,* 153-200.

Petrosky, A. (1998). Consumer aggravation and embarrassment in the marketplace: An exploration of negative emotions in the retail setting. In V. Vaiswanathan (Chair) A symposium: *Consumer Behavior and Marketing Mix: Advertising*, at the 27th Annual Meeting of Western Decision Sciences Institute, Reno, April.

Podsakoff, P. M., Ahearne, M., & MacKenzie, S. B. (1997). Organizational citizenship behavior and the quantity and quality of work group performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 82(2)*, 262-270.

Porter, L. W., Steers, R. M., Mowday, R. T., & Boulian, P. V. (1974). Organizational commitment, job
satisfaction, and turnover among psychiatric technicians. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 59,* 603-
609.

Rafaeli, A. (1989). When cashiers meet customers: An analysis of the role of supermarket cashiers.
Academy of Management Journal, 32, 245-273.

Ryan, M. J. & Rayner, R. (1999). Diagnosing customer loyalty drivers. *Marketing Research, 11(2),* 19-28.

Salovey, P. & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition, and Personality, 9,*
185-211.

Schank, R. C. & Abelson, R. P. (1977). *Scripts, plans, goals, and understanding.* New York: John Wiley
and Sons, Inc.

Schwarz, N. & Clore, G. L. (1983). Mood, misattribution, and judgments of well-being: Informative and
directive functions of affective states. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 45,* 513-523.

Shweder, R. A. (1994). "You're not sick, you're just in love": Emotion as an interpretive system. In P.
Ekman & R. J. Davidson (Eds.), *The Nature of Emotion: Fundamental Questions* (pp.32-44). New
York: Oxford University Press.

Smith, C. A., Organ, D. W., & Near, J. P. (1983). Organizational citizenship behavior: Its nature and
antecedents. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 68,* 653-663.

Solomon, M. R., Surprenant, C., Czepiel, J.A., & Gutman, E.G. (1985). A role theory perspective on
dyadic interactions: The service encounter. *Journal of Marketing, 49(Winter),* 99-111.

Sutton, R. I. (1991). Maintaining norms about expressed emotions: The case of bill collectors.
Administrative Science Quarterly, 36, 245-268.

Sutton, R. I., & Rafaeli, A. (1988). Untangling the relationship between displayed emotions and
organizational sales: The case of convenience stores. *Academy of Management Journal, 31,* 461-
487.

Swan, J. E. & Oliver, R. L. (1989). Postpurchase communications by consumers. *Journal of Retailing,
65(Winter),* 516-533.

Szymanski, D. M. & Henard, D. H. (2001). Customer satisfaction: A meta-analysis of the empirical
evidence. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 29(1),* 16-36.

Thayer, R. E., Newman, J. R., & McClain, T.M. (1994). Self-regulation of mood: Strategies for changing a

    bad mood, raising energy level, and reducing tension. *Journal of Personality and Social*

    Psychology, 67, 910-925.

Thompson, L. (1998). *The Mind and Heart of the Negotiator*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Van Dyne, L., Graham, J.W., & Dienesch, R. M. (1994). Organizational citizenship behavior: Construct

    redefinition, measurement, and validation. *Academy of Management Journal, 37(4),* 765-802.

Van Maanen, J., & Kunda, G. (1989). "Real feelings": Emotional expression and organizational culture. In

    B. Staw and L. L. Cummings (Eds.), *Research in Organizational Behavior, Vol 11* (pp. 43-103).

    Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Vaughn, K. B., & Lanzetta, J. T. (1980). Vicarious instigation and conditioning of facial expressive and

    autonomic responses to a model's expressive display of pain. *Journal of Personality and Social*

    Psychology, *38*, 909-923.

Vavra, T. (1992). *Aftermarketing: How to Keep Customers for Life through Relationship Marketing*, Burr

    Ridge, IL: Business One Irwin.

Wacker, J. G. (1998). A definition of theory: Research guidelines for different theory-building research

    methods in operations management. *Journal of Operations Management,16*, 361-385.

Wah, L. (1999). Employee loyalty surprisingly strong. *Management Review, 88(7),* 9.

Watson, D. & Clark, L. A. (1994). Emotions, moods, traits, and temperaments: Conceptual distinctions and

    empirical findings. In P. Ekman & R. J. Davidson (Eds.), *The Nature of Emotion: Fundamental*

    Questions (pp.89-93). New York: Oxford University Press.

Weisinger, H. (1998). *Emotional intelligence at work.* San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass Inc.

Weiss, H. M. (2001). Deconstructing job satisfaction: Separating evaluations, beliefs, and affective

    experiences. Working paper, Department of Psychological Sciences, Purdue University.

Westbrook, R. A. (1987). Product/consumption based affective responses and postpurchase processes. *Journal of*

    Marketing Research, 24, 258-270.

Wharton, A. S. (1993). The affective consequences of service work: Managing emotions on the job. *Work and*

    Occupations, 20, 205-232.

Youngdahl, W. & Kellogg, D. (1994). Customer costs of service quality: A critical incident study. In T.A.

    Swartz, D.E. Bowen, and S.W. Brown, (Eds.), *Service Marketing and Management Effectiveness,*

    Vol. 3, (pp.149-173). Greenwich. CT: JAI Press.

Youngdahl, W. & Kellogg, D. (1997). The relationship between service customers' quality assurance

    behaviors, satisfaction, and effort: A cost of quality perspective. *Journal of Operations*

    Management, 15, 19-32.

Zeithaml, V. A. & Bitner, M. J. (2000). Services Marketing: Integrating Customer Focus Across the Firm.

    New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.

FIGURE 1

THE SERVICE ENCOUNTER EMOTIONAL VALUE MODEL

Individual Antecedents	Emotional Dyadic Antecedents	SEEVal	Outcomes

Customer

- Cognitive

- Affective

Service Employee

- Cognitive

- Affective

Together

Rapport

Emotional Contagion

Co-production of Emotional Labor

Relationship Quality

Service Encounter Emotional Value

SEEVal = $EV_{customer}$ + $EV_{employee}$

Customer

- Satisfaction

- Loyalty

- Customer Voluntary Performance

Service Employee

- Satisfaction

- Loyalty

- Organizational Citizenship Behaviors